

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT
City of False Pass Harbor House Construction Project

This Finding of No Significant Impact is submitted pursuant to:

45 CFR Part 900

Decision

The Denali Commission (DC) has determined that the selected course of action of City of False Pass Harbor House Construction Project will have no significant impact on the human or natural environment. The selected course of action is described as the Proposed Action in the City of False Pass Harbor House Construction Project (EA), hereafter referred to as the Selected Alternative. The Selected Alternative meets the stated purpose and need of the project while minimizing impacts to the human and natural environment. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development analyzed the impacts of the project in an EA. That EA is attached and incorporated by reference.

The EA was prepared in compliance with NEPA, which considers the effects of federally-funded projects on the quality of the human and natural environment. T

Selected Alternative

The City of False Pass (City) is proposing to construct a harbor house at the existing publicly accessible boat harbor in False Pass, Alaska. The harbor house will allow for monitoring of the harbor and docks and will offer public conveniences for the commercial fishing fleet, particularly those delivering product to two on-shore seafood processing plants, and subsistence boaters. The proposed harbor house would contain a harbormaster's office, a public gathering space, a workshop/garage, and a large public bathroom with showers and laundry facilities.

The proposed harbor house would be constructed on an existing gravel pad that was created from dredged material during the 2009 construction of the harbor that has since drained and settled. An approximately 540 square foot (SF) parking area, a 1,000-gallon fuel tank, and a 432.5 SF walkway would be constructed on the existing gravel pad in addition to the 3,097 SF harbor house building. The building would connect to the existing City of False Pass water utility main and a 1,500-gallon septic tank and 50-foot-long deep trench drainfield. All construction would occur on the existing gravel pad created during the 2009 harbor construction; therefore, no new land disturbance would result from this project. Any necessary sedimentary and erosion control measures would be implemented to minimize impacts to minimize soil erosion and water pollution during construction. Permit applications, including consultation with the State Historic Preservation Office, are in the process of being obtained.

Environmental Consequences of the Selected Alternative

The EA analyzed the effects of the Selected Alternative on numerous resources. No significant impacts to these resources were identified. These findings are based on the evidence and conclusion set forth in the EA, which is incorporated by reference.

Public Involvement

The Draft FONSI and EA was published on January 17, 2024 and was available for public comment for 15 calendar days. Public comments can be sent to jwhittington@denali.gov.

Approved by:

John Whittington, General Counsel

Date

Environmental Assessment
City of Cordova Harbor Rebuild Project

November 22, 2023

Pursuant to section 900.302 of the Denali Commission's NEPA regulations the Commission adopts the U.S. Department of Transportation Maritime Administration which analyzed the impacts of the project in an EA. That EA is attached and incorporated by reference.



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

451 Seventh Street, SW
Washington, DC 20410

www.hud.gov

www.hud.gov/states/shared/working/r10/environment/alaska

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name: False Pass Harbor House Construction Project

Responsible Entity: Nicole Hoblet, Mayor

Grant Recipient (city/borough): City of False Pass

State/Local Identifier: Alaska

Preparer: Solstice Alaska Consulting, Inc

Certifying Officer Name and Title: Nicole Hoblet, Mayor

Co-applicant: N/A

Consultant (if applicable): Solstice Alaska Consulting, Inc

Direct Comments to: Robin Reich, Solstice Alaska Consulting, Inc.

False Pass is located on the eastern shore of Unimak Island, the largest and first island from the mainland on the Aleutian Chain. False Pass faces Isanotski Strait on the western tip of Alaska Peninsula. It is 646 air miles southwest of Anchorage, Alaska and 1,917 miles from Seattle, Washington. The geographic coordinates for False Pass are 54°49'40"N; 163°23'57"W. The U.S. Geological Service (USGS) Quadrangle location is False Pass D-5 SE, Sections 27 and 28 in Township 061 South, Range 094 West, Seward Meridian.

0% SUBMITTAL

1
CS

SITE PLAN

SITE NOTES

- CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE WITH THE CITY OF FALSE PASS PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT AT LEAST 72 HOURS PRIOR TO ANY REGARDING ANY WATER SERVICE WORK TO DETERMINE THE REQUIREMENTS FOR CONNECTING TO WATER UTILITY MAIN.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE WITH THE CITY OF FALSE PASS TO LOCATE AND MAKE THE LOCATION FOR THE DRAINWELL, EXISTING TAILWATER, AND OTHER POTS SHALL BE RELOCATED BY OTHERS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION OF THE SEPTIC TANK AND DRAINWELL.

**FALSE PASS HARBOR HOUSE DESIGN
FALSE PASS, ALASKA
SITE PLAN**

ICG
INCORPORATING
ARCHITECTURE

PROJECT NO. 2019-000048-0
DESIGNED BY: [REDACTED]
DRAWN BY: [REDACTED]
CHECKED BY: [REDACTED]
DATE: 07/18/20
SCALE: 1/2" = 1'-0"
SHEET NUMBER: [REDACTED]

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The proposed harbor house would be constructed on an existing gravel pad that was created from dredged material during the 2009 construction of the harbor that has since drained and settled. An approximately 540 square foot (SF) parking area, a 1,000-gallon fuel tank, and a 432.5 SF walkway would be constructed on the existing gravel pad in addition to the 3,097 SF harbor house building. The building would connect to the existing City of False Pass water utility main and a 1,500-gallon septic tank and 50-foot-long deep trench drainfield. All construction would occur on the existing gravel pad created during the 2009 harbor construction; therefore, no new land disturbance would result from this project. Any necessary sedimentary and erosion control measures would be implemented to minimize impacts to minimize soil erosion and water pollution during construction. Permit applications, including consultation with the State Historic Preservation Office, are in the process of being obtained.

The Aleutians East Borough funded the False Pass Harbor House design project, which was completed in September 2020 by LCG Lantech, Inc. The 95% design for the proposed facility has been completed (Attachment 1).

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The purpose of this project is to construct a harbor house at the False Pass harbor. The project is needed to support the regional commercial fishing fleet. Approximately 80-100 commercial fishing vessels use the harbor facilities in False Pass for moorage, refueling and re-supplying. Approximately 200 additional fishing vessels regularly travel through Isanotski Strait. Use of the harbor increases when weather worsens unexpectedly and vessels are forced to find safe moorage. Reaching the nearest harbors in King Cove (approximately 40 nautical miles away) and Akutan (about 93 nautical miles away) is nearly impossible in dangerous weather.

This project is also needed to address community development and economic development needs in False Pass and to encourage the continued development of the area's commercial fishing fleet and resilient seafood processing industry.

Presently there are no harbor facilities at the harbor, despite harbor houses being considered necessary to ensure the successful operation of harbors. Other than cell phone calls or making a half-mile trek into the village center, there is no way to get an immediate response for help for a vessel or crew member in trouble. There are no facilities to monitor environmental issues like oil/fuel spills, trash dumped, or the abandonment of batteries. There are no facilities to support the administration of the harbor and docks and the tracking of vessels that allows for billing vessels for moorage, water or electricity.

The facility will provide facilities to support a harbormaster (to be hired upon the completion of this project) to manage the daily operations of community docks and the harbor. The laundry facilities would serve the itinerant commercial fishing fleet and the community, as there is no other public laundry facility in False Pass. The workshop and garage space will be available for regional fishermen to work on fishing equipment, boats, and engines.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

Existing Conditions: Geography

False Pass is located on the eastern shore of Unimak Island, the largest and first island from the Alaskan mainland on the Aleutian Chain. False Pass faces Isanotski Strait on the western tip of Alaska Peninsula. It is a second-class city and a member community of the Aleutians East Borough. Isanotski Strait is frequently trafficked by regional marine vessels as it connects the Pacific Gulf of Alaska to the Bering Sea. False Pass is the only remaining Aleut village on Unimak Island.

Existing Conditions: Population

False Pass is a small community but its seafood processing plants and marine facilities serve a large regional commercial fishing fleet. There are 16 limited entry commercial fish permits registered to False Pass residents and there are 402 Alaska Peninsula permit holders. Approximately two hundred commercial fishing vessels regularly use the passage between the Bering Sea and the North Pacific traveling by False Pass, according to Northern Economics' research done for this project (Northern Economics 2021).

From the 1940s until the devastating Peter Pan Seafoods processing plant fire in 1981, False Pass' population hovered near 100. During the commercial fishing periods, the community would as much as double with processing workers and itinerant fishermen. After the fire, fishermen could not sell their product in False Pass and the community slowly shrunk as families left for fishing and other economic opportunities. In 2013, the small boat harbor was constructed and the 2013 population showed a slight increase to 41. Today, False Pass' small but growing population of 56 is mostly made up of Alaska Natives (80%); primarily Aleuts, according to 2019 American Community Survey Five-Year Estimates.

The year-round population is expected to continue to rise as False Pass families return to their home village for emerging economic opportunities around the two seafood processing plants and other marine infrastructure development, including this project.

Existing Conditions: Economic Background

False Pass was settled in the early 1900s by a homesteader, and grew when Native Alaskans arrived after a cannery was established in 1917. The cannery operated continuously until it burned down in 1981 except for when two winters between 1973 and 1976 when fish were depleted by poor conditions. The City of False Pass was incorporated as a second-class city in 1990.

Commercial fishing and its services are the backbone of the local economy. In 2021, 16 False Pass residents held commercial fishing permits. Trident Seafoods and Silver Bay Seafoods operate seafood processing plants in False Pass. The harbor is widely used by vessels moored in False Pass, vessels delivering their catch to the seafood processors, and an itinerant fleet of vessels. Both processing facilities represent recent expansions in False Pass: The Silver Bay Seafoods facility opened in 2019, and the Trident Seafoods facility was purchased in 2018 from the Aleutian Pribilof Island Community Development Association. Despite the COVID-19 pandemic leading to the closure of one of the seafood plants for the 2020 season, over 200 distinct vessels passed through the harbor between July 2018 and June 2021. Additionally, the community is a frequently used refueling stop for fishing fleets in Bristol Bay and the Bering Sea. Bering Pacific Seafoods (BPS), a subsidiary of the Aleutian Pribilof Island Community Development Association Joint Ventures, opened a floating seafood processor called “The Dipper” in 2000 near the community. The BPS built a shore-based processing plant in 2008. Residents’ cash income is supplemented by subsistence practices. These practices include fishing for salmon and halibut and hunting for geese, caribou, seals, and wild cattle on the nearby Sanak Island.

The American Community Survey Five-Year Estimate for 2019 lists the poverty rate for False Pass at 0% and the median household income \$54,250. The Aleutians East Borough’s per capita income given by the U.S. Census for 2020 was \$33,939. These statistics are deceiving, however, and do not accurately reflect the economics of the community or region.

The employment rate of 100% does not consider the serious community out-migration. In the past, families could not afford to stay in the community if they were unemployed. Many families with generational histories in False Pass moved to nearby King Cove and Sand Point, or farther away in Anchorage. Self-employed commercial fishermen and their crews do not typically apply for unemployment benefits and are not included in available data.

Under the guidance of the Alaska State Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development, the City of False Pass conducted an income survey of 100% of its 24 occupied households. The door-to-door survey took place in September 2021, and the compilation of the surveys shows that 55.1% of the 24 households, representing 56 residents, are in very low, low, and moderate households using an income scale provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Five households’ incomes are very low income; nine households are low income; three households are moderate income; and seven households are above the moderate-income level.

These results – both more current and more accurate than any state or federal statistics – dispute the American Community Five-year Estimate of the poverty level in False Pass and its median household income estimate.

Funding Information

Grant Number	HUD Program	Funding Amount
CFDA 14.228	CDBG	\$850,000

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$850,000

Estimated Total Project Cost (HUD and non-HUD funds) [24 CFR 58.32(d)]: \$3,162,600

Compliance with 24 CFR 50.4, 58.5, and 58.6 Laws and Authorities

Record below the compliance or conformance determinations for each statute, executive order, or regulation. Provide credible, traceable, and supportive source documentation for each authority. Where applicable, complete the necessary reviews or consultations and obtain or note applicable permits of approvals. Clearly note citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references. Attach additional documentation as appropriate. For guidance on laws and authorities go to:

<https://www.hud.gov/states/shared/working/r10/environment>

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determinations
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 and 58.6		
Airport Hazards 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>The project is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civil airport. The False Pass airport is located over 1 mile from the project site. The project is not subject to this requirement.</i>
Coastal Barrier Resources Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>According to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) Coastal Barrier Resources System Mapper, there are no coastal barriers in Alaska (USFWS 2022).</i>
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>The Federal Emergency Management Agency has not published flood maps for the False Pass area, and the City of False Pass does not participate in the National Flood Insurance Program (FEMA 2021).</i>
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 & 58.5		
Clean Air Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>The project site is not in a designated non-attainment area. False Pass is not listed on Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation's Rural Dust Survey list of communities reporting people are highly affected by dust. There are no topographical or meteorological conditions to hinder the dispersal of air emissions. (EPA 2022). See attachment 2.</i>
Coastal Zone Management Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>The proposed Harbor House is in the vicinity of the harbor but not within the boundaries of a coastal zone management area because the Alaska Coastal Management Program sunset on July 1, 2011 per Alaska Statute 44.66.030. (Alaska State Legislature 2011).</i>

Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR Part 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>According to the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) Contaminated Sites Mapper, there are no active contaminated sites in False Pass. Cleanup for one contaminated site, a used oil spill at the Bering Pacific Seafoods False Pass Facility, was completed in 2018.</i> <i>Installation and operation of the proposed Harbor House would not result in the release or generation of hazardous materials. It is anticipated that the proposed project would positively impact the surrounding environment by allowing for the monitoring of environmental issues like oil/fuel spills, trash dumped, or the abandonment of batteries. (ADEC 2021).</i>
Endangered Species Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>A search of the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) System database indicates that there are no threatened or endangered species in the project area. Although northern sea otters, a threatened species, are found in the marine waters nearby, the project would not take place within the ocean. In addition, the project would not impact any listed species under the jurisdiction of the National Marine Fisheries Service; therefore, the proposed project will not affect any species protected under the Endangered Species Act. (USFWS 2021).</i>
Explosive and Flammable Hazards 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>The proposed project is not within one mile of an area exposed to thermal or explosive hazards, i.e., where hazardous liquids, gases or chemicals of flammable nature are stored.</i>
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>No unique, prime, or statewide important farmlands have been designated in Alaska and therefore, no impacts to important farmland would occur as a result of the proposed project. (U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service Alaska (NRCS) 2015).</i>
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>The Federal Emergency Management Agency has not published flood maps for the False Pass area. False Pass is also an unmapped area within the State of Alaska's Alaska Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development Planning and Land Management's Risk MAP studies. (DCRA 2020).</i> <i>The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Flood Information Sheet indicates that flooding doesn't occur in the harbor area (USACE 2017).</i> <i>Finally, according to the Aleutian's East Borough's Communities of the Hazards Mitigation Plan (2010), False Pass has a low probability of flooding (AEB 2010).</i>
Historic Preservation	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>No cultural or historic resources have been identified within the project's Area of Potential Effect. The Alaska State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) stated that there is a low likelihood of encountering previously</i>

National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800		<i>unidentified cultural resources since disturbance will be limited to a previously developed gravel pad. The SHPO stated a finding of no historic properties would be appropriate for the project. In the event that cultural resources are identified, work will cease, and appropriate notifications will be made. See attachment 3.</i>
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>The proposed harbor house would not be exposed to a high noise level since there are no major noise generators near the harbor, including highways or railroads.</i>
Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>Currently, the State of Alaska does not have any Sole Source Aquifers. Projects are not subject to this requirement. (EPA 2022b).</i>
Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>The project site is already filled and is not within or adjacent to wetlands. All of the harbor uplands are filled; therefore, no impacts to wetlands are anticipated as a result as this project.</i>
Wild and Scenic Rivers Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>According to the National Parks Service's Wild and Scenic River website, there are no wild or scenic rivers near the project area. (National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, No date).</i>
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE		
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	Yes No ☐ ☒	<i>The proposed project would not cause disproportionately high or adverse effects on any minority or low-income populations. The construction of a harbor house would instead benefit all residents by monitoring environmental issues like unpermitted dumping, and providing resources and support to all harbor users. Community residents may also benefit through employment of the local workforce during the construction of the proposed project. The local government and community have been involved with the planning and development of this project.</i>

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 & 1508.27] Recorded below is the qualitative and quantitative significance of the effects of the proposal on the character, features and resources of the project area. Each factor has been evaluated and documented, as appropriate and in proportion to its relevance to the proposed action. Verifiable source documentation has been provided and described in support of each determination, as appropriate. Credible, traceable and supportive source documentation for each authority has been provided. Where applicable, the necessary reviews or consultations have been completed and applicable permits of approvals have been obtained or noted. Citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references are clear. Additional documentation is attached, as appropriate. **All conditions, attenuation or mitigation measures have been clearly identified.**

Impact Codes: Use an impact code from the following list to make the determination of impact for each factor.

(1) Minor beneficial impact

(2) No impact anticipated

(3) Minor Adverse Impact – May require mitigation

(4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
LAND DEVELOPMENT		
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	2	<i>The proposed Harbor House would be located approximately 1.3 miles north of the False Pass airport and within the city boundaries. The land in the immediate vicinity of the proposed harbor house is primarily industrial and commercial, all centered around fishery and harbor operations. A fully functioning harbor has been included as a priority for False Pass in every planning document completed with public input that includes False Pass since 1999. Specifically, the harbor with all appropriate amenities is discussed in the False Pass Economic Development Plan (July 1999), the Southwest Alaska Comprehensive Development Strategy for 2009-2013, and the State of Alaska's Transportation Improvement Plan (November 2002). This project is entirely located within the city limit and does not affect any farmlands.</i> <i>A harbor house has been planned at this location since the harbor was constructed in 2009 when a gravel pad was created from material dredged during harbor construction for the building.</i>
Soil Suitability/ Slope/ Erosion/ Drainage/ Storm Water Runoff	2	<i>The proposed Harbor House would be constructed on an existing gravel pad that was created from dredged material during the 2009 construction of the harbor that has since drained and settled. The area is flat and ready for construction. Any necessary sedimentary and erosion control measures would be implemented to minimize soil erosion and water pollution during construction.</i>
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Noise	2	<i>As a small building development that operates in the management of the harbor, the project will not have any hazards or nuisances and will not be a safety issue or noise source. There will be temporary noise during construction.</i>
Energy Consumption	2	<i>As a new construction project, the building will utilize modern thermo-insulation and energy-efficient lighting. The development will be more energy efficient than older construction in the community. See the attached site plans (Attachment 1).</i>

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
SOCIOECONOMIC		
Employment and Income Patterns	1	<i>A door-to-door survey in September 2021 shows that 55.1% of 24 False Pass households representing 56 residents are in very low-, low- and moderate-income households (Attachment 4).</i>

		Currently, False Pass offers a boat harbor, a store with groceries and soft goods, and a dock with fuel services. The Isanotski Corporation operates outdoor storage for commercial fishing gear, like crab pots. Importantly, two seafood processors, Trident Seafoods and Silver Bay Seafoods in False Pass, buy and process fish from the commercial fishing fleet. The harbor house will provide a space from which a full-time harbormaster can operate and respond to hazards and emergencies as well as support and grow the seafood industry in False Pass. With increased services and a community area for fishermen, vessel traffic would increase in False Pass and serve as a catalyst for future economic growth and development. Specifically, the harbor house is expected to help the seafood industry and the marine facilities in False Pass more successful and more resilient by improving the efficiencies in the deliveries of raw fish product to the seafood plants, deliveries of supplies to the plants and community, and avoiding disruptions and delays that cost commercial fishermen time away from the fishing grounds.
Demographic Character Changes, Displacement	1	With the addition of a harbor house and associated storage, showers, and laundry, more vessels in False Pass and more vessels staying longer in the harbor would raise economic development opportunities throughout the community. With the anticipation of more fishermen in the community for longer periods of time, opportunities for new small businesses are already being discussed. For example, there are already local plans for a coffee shack and a small pizza delivery business. There would be no displacement resulting from this project. The city conducted a community opinion survey in September 2021 to better describe those plans. For more information, please see the Community Income and Survey Report (Attachment 4).

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES		
Educational and Cultural Facilities	2	<i>The proposed harbor house would not change or impact educational or cultural facilities in False Pass.</i>
Commercial Facilities	1	<i>The False Pass harbor house is expected to support the seafood industry and the marine facilities in False Pass in becoming more successful and resilient by improving the efficiencies in the deliveries of raw fish product to seafood plants, deliveries of supplies to the seafood plants and the rest of the community, and avoiding disruptions and delays that cost commercial fishermen time away from the fishing grounds.</i> <i>With increased services and a community area for fishermen, vessel traffic would increase in False Pass and serve as a catalyst for future economic growth and development.</i>
Health Care and Social Services	2	<i>The proposed harbor house would not change or impact the existing health clinic or social services in False Pass.</i>

Solid Waste Disposal / Recycling	1	<i>This project will not increase the quantity or type of solid waste generated by the community of False Pass. Construction waste will be disposed of at the False Pass Landfill, which is authorized to accept construction and demolition, asbestos, and municipal wastes. Use of the landfill will be coordinated with the City of False Pass, which operates the landfill. There is no recycling program in False Pass.</i>
Waste Water / Sanitary Sewers	2	<i>The proposed harbor house would not change or impact the existing water treatment facility in False Pass because it would not connect into the existing community system.</i>
Water Supply	2	<i>The proposed harbor house would connect into the existing water supply in False Pass; however, it is not expected to impact the system. An Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Drinking Water Engineering Plan Review would be completed prior to construction (ADEC 2022).</i>
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	1	<i>The proposed harbor house will improve public safety by allowing for an immediate response to the harbor in the case of emergency, and by providing facilities to monitor environmental issues.</i>
Parks, Open Space and Recreation	2	<i>The proposed harbor house would not impact parks, open space and recreation in False Pass.</i>
Transportation and Accessibility	1	<i>False Pass is only accessible by boat or plane. Improving safety, efficiency, and environmental monitoring at the harbor will also improve the safety of and accessibility to the community's transportation systems.</i>

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
NATURAL FEATURES		
Unique Natural Features, Water Resources	2	<i>The proposed harbor house is located on a gravel pad that was placed at the time of the harbor construction in 2009. The placement of that gravel pad may have resulted in a minor increase in stormwater runoff. The construction of the harbor house project described in this assessment is not expected to impact water quality directly. False Pass is not located near an impaired waterbody. (ADEC 2022b).</i>
Vegetation, Wildlife	2	<i>The project site and the surrounding area were filled during the construction of the False Pass harbor, so there are no vegetation resources that will be removed or affected by the construction of the Harbor House.</i> <i>According to the Alaska Department of Fish and Game's (ADF&G) Anadromous Waters Catalog, there are no anadromous waters in the vicinity of the proposed Harbor House. Unga Man Creek is an anadromous fish stream and Essential Fish Habitat located approximately 0.4 miles north of the project area. (ADF&G 2021).</i>
Other Factors	2	<i>N/A</i>

Additional Studies Performed:

Community Income and Survey Report (Attachment 4)

Field Inspection (Date and completed by):

Virtual inspection of the site using mappers and site photos was conducted over the course of the development of this assessment.

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Alaska Department of Fish & Game (ADF&G). 2021. Anadromous Waters Catalog. Accessed at <https://adfg.alaska.gov/sf/SARR/AWC/index.cfm?ADFG=main.interactive>.

Alaska Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development Division of Community and Regional Affairs (DCRA). 2021. Community Database. Accessed at <https://dcra-cdo-dcced.opendata.arcgis.com/>.

DCRA. 2020. Community Details: False Pass, Alaska. Accessed at <https://dcced.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=8cd30ff01c024413bad404154db699c7>

ADEC. 2021. Alaska DEC Contaminated Sites Mapper. Accessed at <https://www.arcgis.com/home/webmap/viewer.html?webmap=315240bfbaf84aa0b8272ad1cef3cad3>

ADEC. 2022. Engineering Plan Review Overview. Accessed at: <https://dec.alaska.gov/eh/dw/engineering/plan-review/>

ADEC. 2022b. Alaska's Water Quality Map. Accessed at <https://dec.alaska.gov/water/water-quality/map/>

Alaska State Legislature. 2011. Statute 44.66.030. Accessed at http://www.akleg.gov/basis/Bill/Detail/27?Root=HB0106#tab1_4.

Aleutians East Borough (AEB). 2010. Aleutians East Borough Multi-Jurisdictional Multi-Hazards Mitigation Plan. Accessed at: <https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/dcra/admin/Download/GetFile/?documentUID=5e34ab0b-803f-4fcc-9481-aa07c7f1a732&isPdf=true>.

City of False Pass. No date. About Us. Accessed at <http://cityoffalsepass.com/>.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2022. Alaska Nonattainment/Maintenance Status for Each County by Year for All Criteria Pollutants. Accessed at https://www3.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/anayo_ak.html.

EPA. 2022b. Map of Sole Source Aquifer Locations. Accessed at <https://www.epa.gov/dwssa/map-sole-source-aquifer-locations>

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2022. National Flood Hazard Layer Viewer. Accessed at <https://hazards-fema.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=8b0adb51996444d4879338b5529aa9cd>.

National Wild and Scenic Rivers System. No date. Alaska. Accessed at <https://www.rivers.gov/alaska.php>

Northern Economics. 2021. False Pass Harbor House Benefit Cost Analysis, 2021. Accessed at <https://northerneconomics.com/project-examples/false-pass-harbor-house-bca-2021/>.

The Stadum Group. 1999. Overall Economic Development Plan. Accessed at <http://www.commerce.state.ak.us/dca/plans/FalsePass-EDP-1999.pdf>

Southwest Alaska Municipal Conference. 2010. Southwest Alaska Comprehensive Development Strategy for 2009-2014. Accessed at <https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/web/Portals/6/pub/SWAMC%20CEDS%202009-2014%20with%202013%20update.pdf>.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2017. False Pass Flood Information Sheet. Accessed at: <https://geospatial-data.sec.usace.army.mil/POA/False%20Pass.pdf>

U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service Alaska (NRCS). 2015. Prime and Important Farmlands. Accessed at https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ak/soils/surveys/NRCS142P2_035988/

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2022. Coastal Barrier Resources System Mapper. Accessed at <https://fwsprimary.wim.usgs.gov/cbrs-mapper-v2/>.

USFWS. 2021. Information for Planning and Consultation. Accessed at <https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/location/index>

List of Permits Obtained: No permits are needed.

Public Outreach [24 CFR 50.23 & 58.43]:

Public involvement and outreach regarding the harbor house has occurred for years. The City conducted a community opinion survey (in conjunction with a house-to-house income survey) wherein several questions were asked about priorities for capital projects. One question, in particular, asked respondents to check all those projects they would support. The harbor house construction project was checked by 100% of the respondents and was the only project on the list to have all respondents' support. The complete Community Survey Report is available in attachment 4.

A public meeting was held on November 5, 2021 in False Pass. Seven residents attended the meeting. A flyer advertising the meeting was posted around town one week prior to the meeting.

On November 16, 2021, the False Pass City Council met and unanimously approved the CDBG application and the necessary resolution (Attachment 5).

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

Generally, the Harbor House Project would be part of a pattern of continued development in False Pass since the City was incorporated in 1990. The current City of False Pass Comprehensive Plan displays a prioritization of continued growth and development, reflecting residents' desire for further enhancements to quality of life and continued residential, industrial, and commercial growth in their community. Recent and planned future projects not associated with this project in False Pass include:

Recent projects

- Silver Bay Seafoods was constructed in 2018.
- Alaska Marine Lines opened in Winter 2020.
- The City of False Pass renovated an old building in the vicinity to make it suitable for use as a small business or a community gym in Winter 2020.
- The City of False Pass completed major dock repairs throughout the summers of 2019 and 2020.

Future projects

- Six homes in False Pass require wastewater improvements due to failing septic systems.
- Water utility infrastructure needs upgrades, including a larger filter, a larger backwash lagoon, and an additional water storage tank.
- Tidal energy implementation to decrease the use of diesel fuel.
- An analysis and feasibility assessment will be completed for hydrology related to tidal energy implementation.

This project would not impact the following resources, and therefore would not contribute to cumulative impacts to those resources:

- Topography
- Historic land usages
- Unique geological features
- Essential fish habitat
- Endangered species
- Vegetation and wildlife
- Wetlands and coastal zones
- Climate change
- Environmental justice
- Water supply and distribution system
- Hazardous or toxic substances
- Land use and zoning

Hydrology, Floodplains, and Water Quality. The development of additional upland surfaces for the proposed project at the time of the harbor construction in 2009 may have minorly increased stormwater runoff. In addition, outfalls associated with processing seafood into the marine area under an approved ADEC permit impact water quality. Stormwater runoff can affect sedimentation and siltation and increase contaminants in tidal habitats. The construction of tidal energy infrastructure may also contribute to sedimentation and siltation. These impacts to water quality are expected to be minor. The replacement of septic systems will improve water quality by lowering the likelihood of negative impacts from septic system failure. Cumulative impacts on coastal flood zones would also be minimal, since neither this development nor any other planned projects would be sufficient to raise sea levels and substantially encroach on the coastal flood zone.

Transportation Systems and Facilities. There may be minor or temporary impacts to transportation facilities such as the harbor and community roads from projects not related to the harbor house construction. Other recent and future projects in the City of False Pass involve improvements to transportation infrastructure and facilities. This project together with other recent and planned projects, including the opening of Alaska Marine Lines in 2020, will have a positive impact on transportation systems.

Noise. Temporary increases in ambient noise levels during construction and indirect noise from new harbor users are not expected to cumulatively impact ambient noise levels in the project area, since the area is already a noisy area. Additional noise from planned projects not associated with this project would be minimal; therefore, the cumulative impact of the project compared to the No Action Alternative is not substantial.

Air Quality. This project may impact local air quality from standard building operations such as heating and exhaust from laundry facilities. These impacts are expected to be minor. This project is not expected to cause an increase in emissions or other impacts to local air quality from its users. The implementation of tidal energy in False Pass would mitigate impacts to air quality by lessening the community's dependence on diesel for energy production.

Solid waste. The construction of the harbor house and use of its facilities by harbor users may increase solid waste produced in False Pass. The contractor will dispose of construction waste at the False Pass landfill. The Silver Bay Seafoods processing facility and its approximately 300 seasonal workers also contributed to an increase in solid waste production. The False Pass landfill has the capacity to account for these increases, which follow the pattern of continued development in the community.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

The City of False Pass considered other sites for the harbor house; however, they were dismissed from further consideration because they would not adequately meet the project need. The location of the harbor house was determined during harbor construction in 2009 when a gravel pad was created from material dredged from the harbor.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]:

A no action alternative was considered but was also dismissed from further consideration since a harbor house is considered necessary for the successful and safe operation of harbors.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

Based on the above analysis of the effects of the proposed project, the construction of the harbor house will not adversely impact the character, features and resources in the project area. The project was assigned impact codes indicating a "minor beneficial impact" or "no impact" for each of the assessment factors. No potentially adverse or significant impacts were identified.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]

Summarize below all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid, or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements, and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure

Determination:

☒ **Finding of No Significant Impact** [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.27]

The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.

☐ **Finding of Significant Impact** [24 CFR 58.40(g)(2); 40 CFR 1508.27]

The project may significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

Preparer Signature: Robin Reich Date: June 29, 2022

Name/Title/Organization: Robin Reich, President, Solstice Alaska Consulting, Inc.

Certifying Officer Signature: Nicole Hoblet Date: June 30, 2022

Name/Title: Nicole Hoblet, Mayor

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environmental Review Record (ERR) for the activity/project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).

Airport Hazards (1 of 2)

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to prevent incompatible development around civil airports and military airfields.		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
References		
https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/airport-hazards		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

☒ No → *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide a map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport.*

☐ Yes → *Continue to Question 2.*

2. Is your project located within a Runway Potential Zone/Clear Zone (RPZ/CZ) or Accident Potential Zone (APZ)?

☐ Yes, project is in an APZ → *Continue to Question 3.*

☐ Yes, project is an RPZ/CZ → *Project cannot proceed at this location.*

☐ No, project is not within an APZ or RPZ/CZ

→ *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide a map showing that the site is not within either zone.*

3. Is the project in conformance with DOD guidelines for APZ?

☐ Yes, project is consistent with DOD guidelines without further action.

Explain how you determined that the project is consistent:

→ *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documentation supporting this determination.*

☐ No, the project cannot be brought into conformance with DOD guidelines and has not been approved. → *Project cannot proceed at this location.*

☐ Project is not consistent with DOD guidelines, but it has been approved by Certifying Officer or HUD Approving Official.

Explain approval process:

Airport Hazards continued (2 of 2)

If mitigation measures have been or will be taken, explain in detail the proposed measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documentation supporting this determination.

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

The project is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civil airport. The False Pass airport is located over 1 mile from the project site. See Attachment 11.

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be used for most activities in units of the Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations on federal expenditures affecting the CBRS.	Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) of 1982, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
References		
https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/coastal-barrier-resources		

Projects located in the following states must complete this form.

Alabama	Georgia	Massachusetts	New Jersey	Puerto Rico	Virgin Islands
Connecticut	Louisiana	Michigan	New York	Rhode Island	Virginia
Delaware	Maine	Minnesota	North Carolina	South Carolina	Wisconsin
Florida	Maryland	Mississippi	Ohio	Texas	

1. Is the project located in a CBRS Unit?

- ☒ No → *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide a map showing that the site is not within a CBRS Unit.*

Currently the State of Alaska does not have a Coastal Zone Management Plan. Projects are not subject to this requirement.

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

Currently the State of Alaska does not have a Coastal Zone Management Plan. Projects are not subject to this requirement.

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

Flood Insurance (1 of 2)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be used in floodplains unless the community participates in National Flood Insurance Program and flood insurance is both obtained and maintained.	Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 as amended (42 USC 4001-4128)	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1) and 24 CFR 58.6(a) and (b); 24 CFR 55.1(b).
Reference		
https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/flood-insurance/		

1. Does this project involve financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?

☐ No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. → *Continue to the Worksheet Summary.*

☒ Yes → *Continue to Question 2.*

2. Provide a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The [FEMA Map Service Center](#) provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

☒ No → *Continue to the Worksheet Summary.*

☐ Yes → *Continue to Question 3.*

3. Is the community participating in the National Flood Insurance Program or has less than one year passed since FEMA notification of Special Flood Hazards?

☐ Yes, the community is participating in the National Flood Insurance Program.

For loans, loan insurance or loan guarantees, flood insurance coverage must be continued for the term of the loan. For grants and other non-loan forms of financial assistance, flood insurance coverage must be continued for the life of the building irrespective of the transfer of ownership. The amount of coverage must equal the total project cost or the maximum coverage limit of the National Flood Insurance Program, whichever is less. Provide a copy of the flood insurance policy declaration or a paid receipt for the current annual flood insurance premium and a copy of the application for flood insurance.

→ *Continue to the Worksheet Summary.*

☐ Yes, less than one year has passed since FEMA notification of Special Flood Hazards.

If less than one year has passed since notification of Special Flood Hazards, no flood insurance is required.

→ *Continue to the Worksheet Summary.*

Flood Insurance continued (2 of 2)

☐ No. The community is not participating, or its participation has been suspended.

Federal assistance may not be used at this location. Cancel the project at this location.

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

False Pass is not within a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. Please see Attachment 6 for a FEMA National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette map of the project area. False Pass is also an unmapped area within the State of Alaska's Alaska Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development Planning and Land Management's Risk MAP studies. (DCCED, Division of Community and Regional Affairs. 2020. Community Details: False Pass, Alaska. Accessed at

<https://dced.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=8cd30ff01c024413bad404154db699c7>)

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Flood Information Sheet indicates that flooding doesn't occur in the harbor area (USACE. 2017. False Pass Flood Information. Accessed at: <https://geospatial-data.sec.usace.army.mil/POA/False%20Pass.pdf>).

Finally, according to the Aleutian's East Borough's Communities of the Hazards Mitigation Plan (2010), False Pass has a low probability of flooding (AEB. 2010. Aleutians East Borough Multi-Jurisdictional Multi-Hazards Mitigation Plan. Accessed at: <https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/dcra/admin/Download/GetFile/?documentUID=5e34ab0b-803f-4fcc-9481-aa07c7f1a732&isPdf=true>).

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Clean Air Quality (1 of 2)

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which sets national standards on ambient pollutants. In addition, the Clean Air Act is administered by States, which must develop State Implementation Plans (SIPs) to regulate their state air quality. Projects funded by HUD must demonstrate that they conform to the appropriate SIP.	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et seq.) as amended particularly Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC 7506(c) and (d))	40 CFR Parts 6, 51 and 93
Reference		
https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/air-quality		

Scope of Work

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

☒ Yes

→ Continue to Question 2.

☐ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination.

Air Quality Attainment Status of Project's County or Air Quality Management District

2. Is your project's air quality management district or county in non-attainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants?

Follow the link below to determine compliance status of project county or air quality management district:

<https://www.epa.gov/green-book/>

☒ No, project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination.

☐ Yes, project's management district or county is in non-attainment or maintenance status for one or more criteria pollutants.

Describe the findings:

Clean Air Quality continued (2 of 2)

→ Continue to Question 3.

3. Determine the estimated emissions levels of your project for each of those criteria pollutants that are in non-attainment or maintenance status on your project area. Will your project exceed any of the *de minimis* or *threshold* emissions levels of non-attainment and maintenance level pollutants or exceed the screening levels established by the state or air quality management district?

☐ No, the project will not exceed *de minimis* or threshold emissions levels or screening levels

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Explain how you determined that the project would not exceed *de minimis* or threshold emissions.

☐ Yes, the project exceeds *de minimis* emissions levels or screening levels.

→ Continue to Question 4. Explain how you determined that the project would not exceed *de minimis* or threshold emissions in the Worksheet Summary.

4. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

False Pass is in an area that is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants. See Attachment 2 for a list of the areas with a non-attainment or maintenance status in Alaska. (EPA. 2022. Green Book: Alaska Nonattainment/Maintenance Status for Each County by Year for All Criteria Pollutants. Accessed at https://www3.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/anayo_ak.html.)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Coastal Zone Management Act (1 of 2)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant agencies for activities affecting any coastal use or resource is granted only when such activities are consistent with federally approved State Coastal Zone Management Act Plans.	Coastal Zone Management Act (16 USC 1451-1464), particularly section 307(c) and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and (d))	15 CFR Part 930
References		
https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/coastal-zone-management/		

Projects located in the following states must complete this form.

Alabama	Florida	Louisiana	Mississippi	Ohio	Texas
Alaska	Georgia	Maine	New Hampshire	Oregon	Virgin Islands
American Samoa	Guam	Maryland	New Jersey	Pennsylvania	Virginia
California	Hawaii	Massachusetts	New York	Puerto Rico	Washington
Connecticut	Illinois	Michigan	North Carolina	Rhode Island	Wisconsin
Delaware	Indiana	Minnesota	Northern Mariana Islands	South Carolina	

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

☐ Yes → *Continue to Question 2.*

☒ No → *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide a map showing that the site is not within a Coastal Zone.*

Currently the State of Alaska does not have a Coastal Zone Management Plan. Projects are not subject to this requirement.

2. Does this project include activities that are subject to state review?

☐ Yes → *Continue to Question 3.*

☐ No → *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation used to make your determination.*

3. Has this project been determined to be consistent with the State Coastal Management Program?

☐ Yes, with mitigation. → *Continue to Question 4.*

- ☐ Yes, without mitigation. → *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation used to make your determination.*

Coastal Zone Management Act (2 of 2)

- ☐ No, project must be canceled.
Project cannot proceed at this location.

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

Currently the State of Alaska does not have a Coastal Zone Management Plan. Projects are not subject to this requirement.

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No

Contamination and Toxic Substances (1 of 2)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being proposed for use in HUD programs be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property.		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2) 24 CFR 50.3(i)
Reference		
https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/site-contamination		

- 1. Evaluate the site for contamination. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property?**

Provide a map or other documentation of absence or presence of contamination¹ and explain evaluation of site contamination in the Worksheet below.

☒ No

Explain:

The resources identified in the footnote below were used to evaluate the project site for contamination. Based on searches of the lists and mappers listed in the worksheet summary below, no contamination was found at the project location.

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.
Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

☐ Yes

→ Describe the findings, including any recognized environmental conditions (RECs), in Worksheet Summary below. Continue to Question 2.

Check here if an ASTM Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) report was utilized. [Note: HUD regulations does not require an ASTM Phase I ESA report for single family homes]

Contamination and Toxic Substances continued (2 of 2)

2. Mitigation

¹ Utilize EPA's Enviromapper and state/tribal databases to identify nearby dumps, junk yards, landfills, hazardous waste sites, and industrial sites, including EPA National Priorities List Sites (Superfund sites), CERCLA or state-equivalent sites, RCRA Corrective Action sites with release(s) or suspected release(s) requiring clean-up action and/or further investigation. Additional supporting documentation may include other inspections and reports.

Document the mitigation needed according to the requirements of the appropriate federal, state, tribal, or local oversight agency. If the adverse environmental mitigation cannot be mitigated, then HUD assistance may not be used for the project at this site.

Can adverse environmental impacts be mitigated?

☐ Adverse environmental impacts cannot feasibly be mitigated

→ Project cannot proceed at this location.

☐ Yes, adverse environmental impacts can be eliminated through mitigation.

→ *Provide all mitigation requirements² and documents. Continue to Question 3.*

3. Describe how compliance was achieved. Include any of the following that apply: State Voluntary Clean-up Program, a No Further Action letter, use of engineering controls³, or use of institutional controls⁴.

If a remediation plan or clean-up program was necessary, which standard does it follow?

☐ Complete removal

☐ Risk-based corrective action (RBCA)

☐ Other

→ *Continue to the Worksheet Summary.*

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

² Mitigation requirements include all clean-up actions required by applicable federal, state, tribal, or local law. Additionally, provide, as applicable, the long-term operations and maintenance plan, Remedial Action Work Plan, and other equivalent documents.

³ Engineering controls are any physical mechanism used to contain or stabilize contamination or ensure the effectiveness of a remedial action. Engineering controls may include, without limitation, caps, covers, dikes, trenches, leachate collection systems, signs, fences, physical access controls, ground water monitoring systems and ground water containment systems including, without limitation, slurry walls and ground water pumping systems.

⁴ Institutional controls are mechanisms used to limit human activities at or near a contaminated site, or to ensure the effectiveness of the remedial action over time, when contaminants remain at a site at levels above the applicable remediation standard which would allow for unrestricted use of the property. Institutional controls may include structure, land, and natural resource use restrictions, well restriction areas, classification exception areas, deed notices, and declarations of environmental restrictions.

Based on searches of the following lists and mappers, no contamination was found at the project location:

- *The Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) Contaminated Sites Mapper (ADEC. 2022. Contaminated Sites Mapper. Accessed at <https://www.arcgis.com/home/webmap/viewer.html?webmap=315240bfbaf84aa0b8272ad1cef3cad3>.) See Attachment 7.*
- *EPA Superfund Site Search. (EPA. 2022. Superfund Site Search. Accessed at <https://www.epa.gov/superfund/search-superfund-sites-where-you-live>.)*
- *RCRA Corrective Action Sites. (EPA. 2022. RCRA Corrective Action Site Search. Accessed at <https://ordspub.epa.gov/ords/cimc/f?p=101:15:15156770161664::::>)*
- *Site imagery in attachment 7 shows a crab pot storage site immediately northwest of the harbor house location. No contamination has been identified at the storage site.*

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Endangered Species Act (1 of 3)

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) mandates that federal agencies ensure that actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out shall not jeopardize the continued existence of federally listed plants and animals or result in the adverse modification or destruction of designated critical habitat. Where their actions may affect resources protected by the ESA, agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").	The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 <i>et seq.</i>); particularly section 7 (16 USC 1536).	50 CFR Part 402
References		
https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/endangered-species/		

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect species or habitats?

☒ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination.

☐ No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office.

Explain your determination:

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination.

☐ Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats. → Continue to Question 2.

2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?

Obtain a list of protected species from the Services. This information is available on the [FWS Website](#) or you may contact your [local FWS](#) and/or [NMFS](#) offices directly.

☐ No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat.

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination. Documentation may include letters from the Services, species lists from the Services' websites, surveys or other documents and analysis showing that there are no species in the action area.

☐ Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area. → Continue to Question 3.

Endangered Species Act continued (2 of 3)

3. What effects, if any, will your project have on federally listed species or designated critical habitat?

☐ No Effect: Based on the specifics of both the project and any federally listed species in the action area, you have determined that the project will have absolutely no effect on listed species or critical habitat.

→ *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination. Documentation should include a species list and explanation of your conclusion, and may require maps, photographs, and surveys as appropriate.*

☐ May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect: Any effects that the project may have on federally listed species or critical habitats would be beneficial, discountable, or insignificant.

→ *Continue to Question 4, Informal Consultation.*

☐ Likely to Adversely Affect: The project may have negative effects on one or more listed species or critical habitat.

→ *Continue to Question 5, Formal Consultation.*

4. Informal Consultation is required

Section 7 of ESA (16 USC. 1536) mandates consultation to resolve potential impacts to endangered and threatened species and critical habitats. If a HUD-assisted project may affect any federally listed endangered or threatened species or critical habitat, then compliance is required with Section 7. See 50 CFR Part 402 Subpart B Consultation Procedures.

Did the Service(s) concur with the finding that the project is Not Likely to Adversely Affect?

☐ Yes, the Service(s) concurred with the finding.

→ *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to Question 6 and provide the following:*

- (1) *A biological evaluation or equivalent document*
- (2) *Concurrence(s) from FWS and/or NMFS*
- (3) *Any other documentation of informal consultation*

Exception: If finding was made based on procedures provided by a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office, provide whatever documentation is mandated by that agreement.

☐ No, the Service(s) did not concur with the finding. → *Continue to Question 5.*

5. Formal consultation is required

Section 7 of ESA (16 USC 1536) mandates consultation to resolve potential impacts to federally listed endangered and threatened species and critical habitats. If a HUD assisted project may affect any endangered or threatened species or critical habitat, then compliance is required with Section 7. See 50 CFR Part 402 Subpart B Consultation Procedures.

→ *Once consultation is complete, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to Question 6 and provide the following:*

- (1) *A biological assessment, evaluation, or equivalent document*
- (2) *Biological opinion(s) issued by FWS and/or NMFS*
- (3) *Any other documentation of formal consultation*

Endangered Species Act continued (3 of 3)

6. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the proposed measures that will be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

☐ Mitigation as follows will be implemented:

☐ No mitigation is necessary.

Explain why mitigation will not be made here:

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

*A search of the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation System database indicates that there are no threatened or endangered species in the project area. Northern sea otters (*Enhydra lutris kenyoni*), a threatened species, are found in the marine waters nearby, but the project does not have any in-water components. The project would not impact any listed species under the jurisdiction of the National Marine Fisheries Service since the harbor house would not impact the marine environment; therefore, the proposed project will not affect any species protected under the Endangered Species Act. See Attachment 8 for a copy of the official USFWS species list.*

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Explosive and Flammable Hazards (1 of 3)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) requirements to protect them from explosive and flammable hazards.	N/A	24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C
Reference		
https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/explosive-and-flammable-facilities/		

1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

☒ No

→ Continue to Question 2.

☐ Yes

Explain:

→ Go directly to Question 5.

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

☒ No

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

☐ Yes

→ Continue to Question 3.

3. Within 1 mile of the project site, are there any current *or planned* stationary aboveground storage containers that are covered by 24 CFR 51C? Containers that are **NOT** covered under the regulation include:

- Containers 100 gallons or less in capacity, containing common liquid industrial fuels OR
- Containers of liquified petroleum gas (LPG) or propane with a water volume capacity of 1,000 gallons or less that meet the requirements of the 2017 or later version of National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Code 58.

If all containers within the search area fit the above criteria, answer “no.” For any other type of aboveground storage container within the search area that holds one of the flammable or explosive materials listed in Appendix I of 24 CFR part 51 subpart C, answer “yes.”

☐ No

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide all documents used to make your determination.

☐ Yes

→ Continue to Question 4.

Explosive and Flammable Hazards continued (2 of 3)

4. Visit [HUD's website](#) to identify the appropriate tank or tanks to assess and to calculate the required separation distance using the [electronic assessment tool](#). To document this step in the analysis, please attach the following supporting documents to this screen:

- Map identifying the tank selected for assessment, and showing the distance from the tank to the proposed HUD-assisted project site; and
- Electronic assessment tool calculation of the required separation distance.

Based on the analysis, is the proposed HUD-assisted project site located at or beyond the required separation distance from all covered tanks?

☐ Yes

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

☐ No

→ Go directly to Question 6.

5. Is the hazardous facility located at an acceptable separation distance from residences and any other facility or area where people may congregate or be present?

Please visit [HUD's website](#) for information on calculating Acceptable Separation Distance.

☐ Yes

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide map(s) showing the location of the project site relative to residences and any other facility or area where people congregate or are present and your separation distance calculations.

☐ No

→ Provide map(s) showing the location of the project site relative to residences and any other facility or area where people congregate or are present and your separation distance calculations.

Continue to Question 6.

6. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Mitigation measures may include both natural and manmade barriers, modification of the project design, burial or removal of the hazard, or other engineered solutions. Describe selected mitigation measures, including the timeline for implementation, and attach an implementation plan. If negative effects cannot be mitigated, cancel the project at this location.

Note that only licensed professional engineers should design and implement blast barriers. If a barrier will be used or the project will be modified to compensate for an unacceptable separation distance, provide approval from a licensed professional engineer.

Explosive and Flammable Hazards continued (3 of 3)

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

The proposed project is not the development of a hazardous facility. No flammable or combustible chemicals would be stored at the harbor house. As such, the review is in compliance with this section.

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Farmlands Protection (1 of 2)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) discourages federal activities that would convert farmland to nonagricultural purposes.	Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201 et seq.)	7 CFR Part 658
Reference		
https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/farmlands-protection/		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

☐ Yes → Continue to Question 2.

☒ No

Explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

See worksheet summary below.

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documentation supporting your determination.

2. Does “important farmland,” including prime farmland, unique farmland, or farmland of statewide or local importance regulated under the Farmland Protection Policy Act, occur on the project site?

You may use the links below to determine important farmland occurs on the project site:

- Utilize USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service’s (NRCS) Web Soil Survey <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm>
- Check with your city or county’s planning department and ask them to document if the project is on land regulated by the FPPA (zoning important farmland as non-agricultural does not exempt it from FPPA requirements)
- Contact NRCS at the local USDA service center <http://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs> or your NRCS state soil scientist http://soils.usda.gov/contact/state_offices/ for assistance

☒ No → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide any documents used to make your determination.

☐ Yes → Continue to Question 3.

3. Consider alternatives to completing the project on important farmland and means of avoiding impacts to important farmland.

Farmlands Protection continued (2 of 2)

- Complete form **AD-1006**, "Farmland Conversion Impact Rating" http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb1045394.pdf and contact the state soil scientist before sending it to the local NRCS District Conservationist.
(NOTE: for corridor type projects, use instead form **NRCS-CPA-106**, "Farmland Conversion Impact Rating for Corridor Type Projects: http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb1045395.pdf.)
- Work with NRCS to minimize the impact of the project on the protected farmland. When you have finished with your analysis, return a copy of form AD-1006 (or form NRCS-CPA-106 if applicable) to the USDA-NRCS State Soil Scientist or his/her designee informing them of your determination.

Document your conclusion:

- ☐ Project will proceed with mitigation.

Explain in detail the proposed measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

→ *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide form AD-1006 and all other documents used to make your determination.*

- ☐ Project will proceed without mitigation.

Explain why mitigation will not be made here:

→ *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide form AD-1006 and all other documents used to make your determination.*

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

No unique, prime, or statewide important farmlands have been designated in Alaska and therefore, no impacts to important farmland would occur as a result of the proposed project. (Natural Resources Conservation Service [NRCS] Alaska. No date. Accessed at https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ak/soils/surveys/NRCS142P2_035988/.)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Floodplain Management (1 of 5)

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, requires Federal activities to avoid impacts to floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development to the extent practicable.	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Reference		
https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/floodplain-management/		

1. Does [24 CFR 55.12\(c\)](#) exempt this project from compliance with HUD's floodplain management regulations in Part 55?

☐ Yes

Provide the applicable citation at 24 CFR 55.12(c) here. If project is exempt under 55.12(c)(7) or (8), provide supporting documentation.

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

☒ No → Continue to Question 2.

2. Provide a FEMA/FIRM or ABFE map showing the site.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) or Advisory Base Flood Elevations (ABFEs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

☒ No → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

☐ Yes

Select the applicable floodplain using the FEMA map or the best available information:

- ☐ Floodway → Continue to Question 3, Floodways
- ☐ Coastal High Hazard Area (V Zone) → Continue to Question 4, Coastal High Hazard Areas
- ☐ 500-year floodplain (B Zone or shaded X Zone) → Continue to Question 5, 500-year Floodplains
- ☐ 100-year floodplain (A Zone) → The 8-Step Process is required. Continue to Question 6, 8-Step Process

Floodplain Management continued (2 of 5)

3. Floodways

Is this a functionally dependent use?

☐ Yes

The 8-Step Process is required. Work with your HUD FEO to determine a way to satisfactorily continue with this project. Provide a completed 8-Step Process, including the early public notice and the final notice.

→ *Continue to Question 6, 8-Step Process*

☐ No

Federal assistance may not be used at this location *unless a 55.12(c) exception applies.*
You must either choose an alternate site or cancel the project at this location.

4. Coastal High Hazard Area

Is this a critical action?

☐ Yes

Critical actions are prohibited in coastal high hazard areas. Federal assistance may not be used at this location. Unless the action is excepted at 24 CFR 55.12(c), you must either choose an alternate site or cancel the project.

☐ No

Does this action include construction that is not a functionally dependent use, existing construction (including improvements), or reconstruction following destruction caused by a disaster?

☐ Yes, there is new construction.

New construction is prohibited in V Zones ((24 CFR 55.1(c)(3)).

☐ No, this action concerns only a functionally dependent use, existing construction(including improvements), or reconstruction following destruction caused by a disaster.

This construction must have met FEMA elevation and construction standards for a coastal high hazard area or other standards applicable at the time of construction.

→ *Continue to Question 6, 8-Step Process*

5. 500-year Floodplain

Is this a critical action?

☐ No → *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.*

☐ Yes → *Continue to Question 6, 8-Step Process*

Floodplain Management continued (3 of 5)

6. [8-Step Process](#).

Does the 8-Step Process apply? Select one of the following options:

- ☐ 8-Step Process applies.

Provide a completed 8-Step Process, including the early public notice and the final notice.

→ *Continue to Question 7, Mitigation*

- ☐ 5-Step Process is applicable per 55.12(a)(1-3).

Provide documentation of 5-Step Process.

Select the applicable citation:

- ☐ 55.12(a)(1) HUD actions involving the disposition of HUD-acquired multifamily housing projects or “bulk sales” of HUD-acquired one- to four-family properties in communities that are in the Regular Program of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and in good standing (i.e., not suspended from program eligibility or placed on probation under 44 CFR 59.24).
- ☐ 55.12(a)(2) HUD's actions under the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1701) for the purchase or refinancing of existing multifamily housing projects, hospitals, nursing homes, assisted living facilities, board and care facilities, and intermediate care facilities, in communities that are in good standing under the NFIP.
- ☐ 55.12(a)(3) HUD's or the recipient's actions under any HUD program involving the repair, rehabilitation, modernization, weatherization, or improvement of existing multifamily housing projects, hospitals, nursing homes, assisted living facilities, board and care facilities, intermediate care facilities, and one- to four-family properties, in communities that are in the Regular Program of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and are in good standing, provided that the number of units is not increased more than 20 percent, the action does not involve a conversion from nonresidential to residential land use, the action does not meet the thresholds for “substantial improvement” under § 55.2(b)(10), and the footprint of the structure and paved areas is not significantly increased.
- ☐ 55.12(a)(4) HUD's (or the recipient's) actions under any HUD program involving the repair, rehabilitation, modernization, weatherization, or improvement of existing nonresidential buildings and structures, in communities that are in the Regular Program of the NFIP and are in good standing, provided that the action does not meet the thresholds for “substantial improvement” under § 55.2(b)(10) and that the footprint of the structure and paved areas is not significantly increased.

→ *Continue to Question 7, Mitigation*

Floodplain Management continued (4 of 5)

- ☐ 8-Step Process is inapplicable per 55.12(b)(1-4).

Select the applicable citation:

- ☐ 55.12(b)(1) HUD's mortgage insurance actions and other financial assistance for the purchasing, mortgaging or refinancing of existing one- to four-family properties in communities that are in the Regular Program of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and in good standing (i.e., not suspended from program eligibility or placed on probation under 44 CFR 59.24), where the action is not a critical action and the property is not located in a floodway or coastal high hazard area.
- ☐ 55.12(b)(2) Financial assistance for minor repairs or improvements on one- to four-family properties that do not meet the thresholds for "substantial improvement" under § 55.2(b)(10)
- ☐ 55.12(b)(3) HUD actions involving the disposition of individual HUD-acquired, one- to four-family properties.
- ☐ 55.12(b)(4) HUD guarantees under the Loan Guarantee Recovery Fund Program (24 CFR part 573) of loans that refinance existing loans and mortgages, where any new construction or rehabilitation financed by the existing loan or mortgage has been completed prior to the filing of an application under the program, and the refinancing will not allow further construction or rehabilitation, nor result in any physical impacts or changes except for routine maintenance.
- ☐ 55.12(b)(5) The approval of financial assistance to lease an existing structure located within the floodplain, but only if—
- (i) The structure is located outside the floodway or Coastal High Hazard Area, and is in a community that is in the Regular Program of the NFIP and in good standing (i.e., not suspended from program eligibility or placed on probation under 44 CFR 59.24);
 - (ii) The project is not a critical action; and
 - (iii) The entire structure is or will be fully insured or insured to the maximum under the NFIP for at least the term of the lease.

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

Floodplain Management continued (5 of 5)

7. Mitigation

For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

--

Which of the following mitigation/minimization measures have been identified for this project in the 8-Step or 5-Step Process? Select all that apply.

- ☐ Permeable surfaces
- ☐ Natural landscape enhancements that maintain or restore natural hydrology
- ☐ Planting or restoring native plant species
- ☐ Bioswales
- ☐ Evapotranspiration
- ☐ Stormwater capture and reuse
- ☐ Green or vegetative roofs with drainage provisions
- ☐ Natural Resources Conservation Service conservation easements or similar easements
- ☐ Floodproofing of structures
- ☐ Elevating structures including freeboarding above the required base flood elevations
- ☐ Other

→ *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.*

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

False Pass is not within a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. Please see Attachment 6 for a FEMA National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette map of the project area. False Pass is also an unmapped area within the State of Alaska's Alaska Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development Planning and Land Management's Risk MAP studies. (DCCED, Division of Community and Regional Affairs. 2020. Community Details: False Pass, Alaska. Accessed at

<https://dced.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=8cd30ff01c024413bad404154db699c7>)

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Flood Information Sheet indicates that flooding doesn't occur in the harbor area (USACE. 2017. False Pass Flood Information. Accessed at: <https://geospatial-data.sec.usace.army.mil/POA/False%20Pass.pdf>).

Finally, according to the Aleutian's East Borough's Communities of the Hazards Mitigation Plan (2010), False Pass has a low probability of flooding (AEB. 2010. Aleutians East Borough Multi-Jurisdictional Multi-Hazards Mitigation Plan. Accessed at: <https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/dcra/admin/Download/GetFile/?documentUID=5e34ab0b-803f-4fcc-9481-aa07c7f1a732&isPdf=true>).

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Historic Preservation (1 of 6)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) require a consultative process to identify historic properties, assess project impacts on them, and avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects	Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f)	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic Properties"
References		
https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/historic-preservation		

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

- ☐ No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the [PA Database](#) to find applicable PAs.)

Either provide the PA itself or a link to it here. Mark the applicable exemptions or include the text here:

→ *Continue to the Worksheet Summary.*

- ☐ No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

Either provide the memo itself or a link to it here. Explain and justify the other determination here:

→ *Continue to the Worksheet Summary.*

- ☒ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect). → *Continue to Step 1.*

Historic Preservation continued (2 of 6)

The Section 106 Process

After determining the need to do a Section 106 review, initiate consultation with regulatory and other interested parties, identify and evaluate historic properties, assess effects of the project on properties listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places, and resolve any adverse effects through project design modifications or mitigation.

Note that consultation continues through all phases of the review.

Step 1: Initiate consultation

Step 2: Identify and evaluate historic properties

Step 3: Assess effects of the project on historic properties

Step 4: Resolve any adverse effects

Step 1 - Initiate Consultation

The following parties are entitled to participate in Section 106 reviews: Advisory Council on Historic Preservation; State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPOs); federally recognized Indian tribes/Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs); Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs); local governments; and project grantees. The general public and individuals and organizations with a demonstrated interest in a project may participate as consulting parties at the discretion of the RE or HUD official. Participation varies with the nature and scope of a project. Refer to HUD's website for guidance on consultation, including the required timeframes for response. Consultation should begin early to enable full consideration of preservation options.

Use the [When To Consult With Tribes checklist](#) within [Notice CPD-12-006: Process for Tribal Consultation](#) to determine if you should invite tribes to consult on a particular project. Use the [Tribal Directory Assessment Tool \(TDAT\)](#) to identify tribes that may have an interest in the area where the project is located. Note that consultants may not initiate consultation with Tribes.

Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ☒ Alaska State Historic Preservation Officer (AK SHPO)
- ☐ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
- ☒ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native
- ☐ Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

List all tribes that were consulted here and their status of consultation:

Consultation letters to the following tribal entities were sent on August 5, 2021: False Pass Tribal Council; Aleut Corporation; Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association (APIA); Aleutian Pribilof Island Community Development Association (APICDA); Eastern Aleutian Tribes; and the Isanotski Corporation. No responses were received.

- ☐ Other Consulting Parties

List all consulting parties that were consulted here and their status of consultation:

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

The tribal entities listed above were selected for consultation using the U.S. Department of the Interior Indian Affairs Tribal Leaders Directory, accessed at <https://www.bia.gov/bia/ois/tribal-leaders-directory/> and the Tribal Directory Assessment Tool (TDAT). See attachment 3 for a copy of tribal consultation letters.

Historic Preservation continued (3 of 6)

Provide all correspondence, notices, and notes (including comments and objections received) and continue to Step 2.

Step 2 - Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or providing a map depicting the APE. Attach an additional page if necessary.

The project's proposed area of potential effects (APE) includes a 20-foot buffer around the new harbor house building and associated facilities including the gravel parking area, fuel tank, septic tank and drainfield, and water pipe connecting the Harbor House to the City of False Pass water utility main. See attachment 3.

Gather information about known historic properties in the APE. Historic buildings, districts and archeological sites may have been identified in local, state, and national surveys and registers, local historic districts, municipal plans, town and county histories, and local history websites. If not already listed on the National Register of Historic Places, identified properties are then evaluated to see if they are eligible for the National Register.

Refer to HUD's website for guidance on identifying and evaluating historic properties.

In the space below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE.

Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be listed. For each historic property or district, include the National Register status, whether the SHPO has concurred with the finding, and whether information on the site is sensitive. Attach an additional page if necessary.

No historic properties were identified within the project's APE.

Provide the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination.

Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

If the APE contains previously unsurveyed buildings or structures over 50 years old, or there is a likely presence of previously unsurveyed archeological sites, a survey may be necessary. For Archeological surveys, refer to HP Fact Sheet #6, [Guidance on Archeological Investigations in HUD Projects](#).

☐ Yes → Provide survey(s) and report(s) and continue to Step 3.

Additional notes:

☒ No → Continue to Step 3.

Historic Preservation continued (4 of 6)

Step 3 - Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. ([36 CFR 800.5](#)) Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per HUD guidance.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

☒ **No Historic Properties Affected**

Document reason for finding:

- ☒ No historic properties present. → *Provide concurrence(s) or objection(s) and continue to the Worksheet Summary. See [attachment 3](#).*
- ☐ Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them. → *Provide concurrence(s) or objection(s) and continue to the Worksheet Summary.*

If consulting parties concur or fail to respond to user's request for concurrence, project is in compliance with this section. No further review is required. If consulting parties object, refer to ([36 CFR 800.4\(d\)\(1\)](#)) and consult further to try to resolve objection(s).

☐ **No Adverse Effect**

Document reason for finding:

Does the No Adverse Effect finding contain conditions?

- ☐ Yes

Check all that apply: (check all that apply)

- ☐ Avoidance
- ☐ Modification of project
- ☐ Other

Describe conditions here:

→ *Monitor satisfactory implementation of conditions. Provide concurrence(s) or objection(s) and continue to the Worksheet Summary.*

- ☐ No → *Provide concurrence(s) or objection(s) and continue to the Worksheet Summary.*

If consulting parties concur or fail to respond to user's request for concurrence, project is in compliance with this section. No further review is required. If consulting parties object, refer to ([36 CFR 800.5\(c\)\(2\)](#)) and consult further to try to resolve objection(s).

Historic Preservation continued (5 of 6)

☐ Adverse Effect

Document reason for finding:

Copy and paste applicable Criteria into text box with summary and justification.

Criteria of Adverse Effect: [36 CFR 800.5](#)]

Notify the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation of the Adverse Effect and provide the documentation outlined in [36 CFR 800.11\(e\)](#). The Council has 15 days to decide whether to enter the consultation (Not required for projects covered by a Programmatic Agreement).

→ *Continue to Step 4.*

Step 4 - Resolve Adverse Effects

Work with consulting parties to try to avoid, minimize or mitigate adverse effects. Refer to HUD guidance and [36 CFR 800.6 and 800.7](#).

Were the Adverse Effects resolved?

☐ Yes

Describe the resolution of Adverse Effects, including consultation efforts and participation by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation:

For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

→ *Provide signed Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) or Standard Mitigation Measures Agreement (SMMA). Continue to the Worksheet Summary.*

☐ No

The project must be cancelled unless the “Head of Agency” approves it. Either provide approval from the “Head of Agency” or cancel the project at this location.

Historic Preservation continued (6 of 6)

Describe the failure to resolve Adverse Effects, including consultation efforts and participation by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and “Head of the Agency”:

Explain in detail the exact conditions or measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

→ Provide correspondence, comments, documentation of decision, and “Head of Agency” approval. Continue to the Worksheet Summary.

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

*No historic properties were identified within the project’s proposed APE throughout Section 106 consultation and a search of the National Register of Historic Places. Upon review, AK SHPO had no comments of concern or additional information to provide since disturbance would be limited to a previously developed gravel pad and there is a low likelihood of encountering previously unidentified cultural resources. The AK SHPO stated **a No Historic Properties Effected** is appropriate for the project. No responses were received from consulted tribal entities. See attachment 3.*

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Noise – Abatement – and – Control (1 of 4)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect residential properties from excessive noise exposure. HUD encourages mitigation as appropriate.	Noise Control Act of 1972 General Services Administration Federal Management Circular 75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at Federal Airfields"	Title 24 CFR 51 Subpart B
References		
https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/noise-abatement-and-control/		

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

- ☐ New construction for residential use

NOTE: HUD assistance to new construction projects is generally prohibited if they are located in an Unacceptable zone, and HUD discourages assistance for new construction projects in Normally Unacceptable zones. See 24 CFR 51.101(a)(3) for further details.

→ *Continue to Question 2.*

- ☐ Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

NOTE: For major or substantial rehabilitation in Normally Unacceptable zones, HUD encourages mitigation to reduce levels to acceptable compliance standards. For major rehabilitation in Unacceptable zones, HUD strongly encourages mitigation to reduce levels to acceptable compliance standards. See 24 CFR 51 Subpart B for further details.

→ *Continue to Question 2.*

- ☐ A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction, interstate, land sales registration, or any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provisions or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

→ *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.*

- ☒ None of the above

→ *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.*

Noise – Abatement – and – Control continued (2 of 4)

2. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000' from a major road, 3000' from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).

Indicate the findings of the Preliminary Screening below:

☐ There are no noise generators found within the threshold distances above.

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide a map showing the location of the project relative to any noise generators.

☐ Noise generators were found within the threshold distances.

→ Continue to Question 3.

3. Complete the Noise Assessment Guidelines to quantify the noise exposure. Indicate the findings of the Noise Assessment below:

☐ Acceptable: (65 decibels or less; the ceiling may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Indicate noise level here:

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis.

☐ Normally Unacceptable: (Above 65 decibels but not exceeding 75 decibels; the floor may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in 24 CFR 51.105(a))

Indicate noise level here:

If project is rehabilitation:

→ Continue to Question 4. Provide noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis.

If project is new construction:

Is the project in a largely undeveloped area⁵?

☐ No

→ Continue to Question 4. Provide noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis, and any other relevant information.

☐ Yes

⁵ A largely undeveloped area means the area within 2 miles of the project site is less than 50 percent developed with urban uses and does not have water and sewer capacity to serve the project.

→Your project requires completion of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) pursuant to 51.104(b)(1)(i). Elevate this review to an EIS-level review.

Noise – Abatement – and – Control continued (3 of 4)

☐ Unacceptable: (Above 75 decibels)

Indicate noise level here:

If project is rehabilitation:

HUD strongly encourages conversion of noise-exposed sites to land uses compatible with high noise levels. Consider converting this property to a non-residential use compatible with high noise levels.

→ Continue to Question 4. Provide noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis, and any other relevant information.

If project is new construction:

Your project requires completion of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) pursuant to 51.104(b)(1)(i). You may either complete an EIS or provide a waiver signed by the appropriate authority. Indicate your choice:

☐ Convert to an EIS

→ Provide noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis.

Continue to Question 4.

☐ Provide waiver

→ Provide an Environmental Impact Statement waiver from the Certifying Officer or the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development per 24 CFR 51.104(b)(2) and noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis.

Continue to Question 4.

- 4. HUD strongly encourages mitigation be used to eliminate adverse noise impacts. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. This information will be automatically included in the Mitigation summary for the environmental review.**

☐ Mitigation as follows will be implemented:

→ Provide drawings, specifications, and other materials as needed to describe the project's noise mitigation measures. Continue to the Worksheet Summary.

Noise – Abatement – and – Control continued (4 of 4)

☐ No mitigation is necessary.

Explain why mitigation will not be made here:

→ Continue to the Worksheet Summary.

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

Construction of the proposed harbor house does not involve new construction for residential use, the rehabilitation of an existing residential property, or a research demonstration project. As such, the review is in compliance with this section. Additionally, there are no major roads or railroads in the vicinity of False Pass.

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Sole Source Aquifers (1 of 2)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 protects drinking water systems which are the sole or principal drinking water source for an area and which, if contaminated, would create a significant hazard to public health.	Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 201, 300f et seq., and 21 U.S.C. 349)	40 CFR Part 149
Reference		
https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/sole-source-aquifers/		

1. Does your project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

☐ Yes → *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.*

☒ No → *Continue to Question 2.*

2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)⁶?

☒ No → *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area.*

☐ Yes → *Continue to Question 3.*

3. Does your region have a memorandum of understanding (MOU) or other working agreement with EPA for HUD projects impacting a sole source aquifer?

Contact your Field or Regional Environmental Officer or visit the HUD webpage at the link above to determine if an MOU or agreement exists in your area.

☐ Yes → *Provide the MOU or agreement as part of your supporting documentation. Continue to Question 4.*

☐ No → *Continue to Question 5.*

4. Does your MOU or working agreement exclude your project from further review?

☐ Yes → *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation used to make your determination and document where your project fits within the MOU or agreement.*

☐ No → *Continue to Question 5.*

⁶ A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

Sole Source Aquifers continued (2 of 2)

5. Will the proposed project contaminate the aquifer and create a significant hazard to public health?

Consult with your Regional EPA Office. Your consultation request should include detailed information about your proposed project and its relationship to the aquifer and associated streamflow source area. EPA will also want to know about water, storm water and waste water at the proposed project. Follow your MOU or working agreement or contact your Regional EPA office for specific information you may need to provide. EPA may request additional information if impacts to the aquifer are questionable after this information is submitted for review.

☐ No → *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide your correspondence with the EPA and all documents used to make your determination.*

☐ Yes → *Work with EPA to develop mitigation measures. If mitigation measures are approved, attach correspondence with EPA and include the mitigation measures in your environmental review documents and project contracts. If EPA determines that the project continues to pose a significant risk to the aquifer, federal financial assistance must be denied. Continue to Question 6.*

6. In order to continue with the project, any threat must be mitigated, and all mitigation must be approved by the EPA. Explain in detail the proposed measures that can be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

→ *Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation of the consultation (including the Managing Agency's concurrence) and any other documentation used to make your determination.*

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

*There are no existing U.S. EPA-identified sole source aquifers in Alaska. See attachment 9.
Reference: U.S. EPA. 2022. Sole Source Aquifers Mapper. Accessed at
<https://epa.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=9ebb047ba3ec41ada1877155fe31356b>.*

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Wetlands (1 of 2)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages that direct or indirect support of new construction impacting wetlands wherever there is a practicable alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a primary screening tool, but observed or known wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also be processed. Off-site impacts that result in draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands must also be processed.	Executive Order 11990	24 CFR 55.20 can be used for general guidance regarding the 8 Step Process.
References		
https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/wetlands-protection/		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance?

The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order.

☐ No → *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.*

☒ Yes → *Continue to Question 2.*

2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland?

The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds. Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands.

☒ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

→ *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide a map or any other relevant documentation to explain your determination.*

☐ Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

→ *You must determine that there are no practicable alternatives to wetlands development by completing the 8-Step Process.*

Provide a completed 8-Step Process as well as all documents used to make your determination, including a map. Be sure to include the early public notice and the final notice with your documentation.

Continue to Question 3.

Wetlands continued (2 of 2)

3. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

Which of the following mitigation actions have been or will be taken? Select all that apply:

- ☐ Permeable surfaces
- ☐ Natural landscape enhancements that maintain or restore natural hydrology through infiltration
- ☐ Native plant species
- ☐ Bioswales
- ☐ Evapotranspiration
- ☐ Stormwater capture and reuse
- ☐ Green or vegetative roofs with drainage provisions
- ☐ Natural Resources Conservation Service conservation easements
- ☐ Compensatory mitigation

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

There is no National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) mapping for the False Pass area. The project site is previously filled and is not within or adjacent to wetlands. All of the land adjacent to the harbor is filled and therefore, no impacts to wetlands are anticipated as a result of this project. (USFWS. 2021. National Wetlands Inventory Mapper. Accessed at <https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html>.)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

Wild and Scenic Rivers (1 of 2)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act provides federal protection for certain free-flowing, wild, scenic and recreational rivers designated as components or potential components of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System (NWSRS) from the effects of construction or development.	The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287), particularly section 7(b) and (c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	36 CFR Part 297
References		
https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/wild-and-scenic-rivers/		

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river as defined below?

Wild & Scenic Rivers: These rivers or river segments have been designated by Congress or by states (with the concurrence of the Secretary of the Interior) as wild, scenic, or recreational

Study Rivers: These rivers or river segments are being studied as a potential component of the Wild & Scenic River system.

Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI): The National Park Service has compiled and maintains the NRI, a register of river segments that potentially qualify as national wild, scenic, or recreational river areas

☒ No

→ Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation used to make your determination, such as a map identifying the project site and its surrounding area or a list of rivers in your region in the Screen Summary at the conclusion of this screen.

☐ Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

→ Continue to Question 2.

2. Could the project do *any* of the following?

- Have a direct and adverse effect within Wild and Scenic River Boundaries,
- Invade the area or unreasonably diminish the river outside Wild and Scenic River Boundaries, or
- Have an adverse effect on the natural, cultural, and/or recreational values of a NRI segment.
- Consultation with the appropriate federal/state/local/tribal Managing Agency(s) is required, pursuant to Section 7 of the Act, to determine if the proposed project may have an adverse effect on a Wild & Scenic River or a Study River and, if so, to determine the appropriate avoidance or mitigation measures.

Wild and Scenic Rivers continued (2 of 2)

Note: Concurrence may be assumed if the Managing Agency does not respond within 30 days; however, you are still obligated to avoid or mitigate adverse effects on the rivers identified in the NWSRS

☐ No, the Managing Agency has concurred that the proposed project will not alter, directly, or indirectly, any of the characteristics that qualifies or potentially qualifies the river for inclusion in the NWSRS.

→ *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation of the consultation (including the Managing Agency's concurrence) and any other documentation used to make your determination.*

☐ Yes, the Managing Agency was consulted and the proposed project may alter, directly, or indirectly, any of the characteristics that qualifies or potentially qualifies the river for inclusion in the NWSRS.

→ *Continue to Question 3.*

3. **For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the proposed measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.**

→ *Continue to the Worksheet Summary below. Provide documentation of the consultation (including the Managing Agency's concurrence) and any other documentation used to make your determination.*

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

According to the National Park Service National Wild and Scenic River System website (accessed at www.rivers.gov/alaska.php), portions of 25 Alaskan rivers and creeks, totaling 3,210 miles, are designated as Wild and Scenic. None of these rivers are located in the vicinity of False pass, and none are within one mile of the project site.

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

☐ Yes

☐ No

Environmental Justice (1 of 2)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project creates adverse environmental impacts upon a low-income or minority community. If it does, engage the community in meaningful participation about mitigating the impacts or move the project.	Executive Order 12898	
References		
https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/environmental-justice/		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

☐ Yes → Continue to Question 2.

☒ No → Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.

2. Were these adverse environmental impacts disproportionately high for low-income and/or minority communities?

☐ Yes

Explain:

→ Continue to Question 3. Provide any supporting documentation.

☐ No

Explain:

→ Continue to the Worksheet Summary and provide any supporting documentation.

3. All adverse impacts should be mitigated. Explain in detail the proposed measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

☐ Mitigation as follows will be implemented:

→ Continue to Question 4.

Environmental Justice continued (2 of 2)

☐ No mitigation is necessary.

Explain why mitigation will not be made here:

→ Continue to Question 4.

4. Describe how the affected low-income or minority community was engaged or meaningfully involved in the decision on what mitigation actions, if any, will be taken.

→ Continue to the Worksheet Summary and provide any supporting documentation.

Worksheet Summary

Compliance Determination

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review and therefore, will not adversely impact any low-income or minority community. See attachment 10 for a copy of the EPA EJScreen report for the project area (EPA. 2022. Environmental Justice Screening and Mapping Tool. Accessed at <https://ejscreen.epa.gov/mapper/>).

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

☐ Yes

☒ No